**REMARKS/ARGUMENTS** 

The above Amendment and these Remarks are in response to the Office Action mailed

May 15, 2007. Claims 1-34 were pending prior to the outstanding Office Action. In the Office

Action, the Examiner rejected claims 1-34. This Response amends claims 1, 13, 23, and 33 and

cancels claim 34, leaving for the Examiner's consideration claims 1-33. Reconsideration of the

rejections is respectfully requested.

**CLAIM OBJECTIONS** 

The Examiner objects to lines 12-15 in the Abstract. A new Abstract in compliance with

the Examiner's suggestion is submitted herewith.

CLAIM REJECTIONS – 35 USC § 101

Claims 1-12 and 33 are rejected because the claimed invention is directed to non-

statutory subject matter: "A system to provide a software debugging environment...".

Here, independent claims 1 and 33 are amended to state as a "computer-enabled system,"

therefore satisfying the statutory requirement under 35 USC § 101. In addition, dependent

claims 2-12, which are based on independent claim 1, should all satisfy the statutory requirement

under 35 USC § 101 as well.

<u>Claim 34 is rejected because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject</u>

matter, i.e., "A computer data signal embodied in a transmission medium ...".

Claim 34 is canceled.

CLAIM REJECTIONS – 35 USC § 102

Claims 1-6, 8-9, 13-17, 19, 23-27, 29, and 33 are rejected under 35 USC 102(e) as being

anticipated by U.S. Patent Publication No. 2005/0278585 to Spencer.

10

Here, independent claims 1, 13, 23, and 33 are all amended to include, "wherein the abstract content of the at least one data structure constitutes attributes rather than physical structures used to represent the abstract content." As the example described in [0005], the developer might use a data structure called a List to represent an ordered collection of items on an invoice. In the present invention, the debugger can allow the developer to see the list of items and their attributes (e.g., quantity, price, description). However, internally the List data structure may be implemented as a linked list of nodes.

Different from the present invention, Spencer focuses on showing the expressions and information in a floating or movable window, either above the source code, or attached to the source code in the locations that they are relevant to. (Spencer, Paragraph 0022, Line 10-13). Hence, Spencer cannot anticipate the present invention or render the present invention obvious, since only variables and expressions in the physical structures (the linked list in the above example), not the abstract content (the List in the above example), are shown in Spencer. Therefore, independent claims 1, 13, 23, and 33 should all be in allowable condition.

In addition, dependent claims 2-12, which are based on independent claim 1; dependent claims 14-22, which are based on independent claim 13; and dependent claims 24-32, which are based on independent claim 23, should also be in allowable condition.

## Conclusion

In light of the above, it is respectfully submitted that all of the claims now pending in the subject patent application are allowable, and Applicants respectfully request that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

11

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any underpayment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 06-1325 for any matter in connection with this response, including any fee for extension of time, which may be required.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: \_\_09/14/07 By: \_\_/Kuiran (Ted) Liu/

Kuiran (Ted) Liu Reg. No. 60,039

FLIESLER MEYER LLP 650 California Street, Fourteenth Floor San Francisco, California 94108

Telephone: (415) 362-3800